

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, JULY 8, 2008
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S043520**PEOPLE v. POWELL (CARL
DEVON)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Neoma D. Kenwood's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 4, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 4, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S055501**PEOPLE v. BUTLER
(RAYMOND OSCAR)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Noah P. Hill's representation that he anticipates filing the supplemental respondent's brief by August 18, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 18, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

**S076334
(DAVID)****PEOPLE v. ARISMAN

WAYNE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Timothy M. Weiner's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by November 8, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 9, 2008. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S079925**PEOPLE v. MORA (JOSEPH
ADAM) & RANGEL (RUBEN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Tara K. Allen's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Ruben Rangel's opening brief by September 30, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 8, 2008. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 23 additional days is contemplated.

S080477**PEOPLE v. BANKS (KELVYN
RONDELL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 5, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 5, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S099439**PEOPLE v. KREBS (REX
ALLAN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 2, 2008.

S105097**PEOPLE v. DUFF (DEWEY
JOE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 5, 2008.

S117235**LEWIS, JR., (ROBERT) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert M. Sanger's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to return to petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 28, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 28, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S158852

A112197 First Appellate District, Div. 4

**PEOPLE v. STEVENS
(LORENZO)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file Appellant's Reply Brief on the Merits is extended to August 11, 2008.

S162381**FARNAM (JACK GUS) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General David A. Wildman's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 4, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 5, 2008. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S164339 B208057 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **VEJAR (GEORGE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to the petition for review is hereby extended to July 18, 2008.

S164622 B199853 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. ROBINSON
(ANTWAION DION)**

Order filed

The order filed July 1, 2008, is hereby amended to include the Court of Appeal case number referenced above.

S163441**SEFF ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that RICHARD M. SEFF, State Bar No. 150440, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed March 20, 2008. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order unless he has done so within two years prior to the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S163444**ACHEN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that ALAN K. ACHEN, State Bar No. 85832, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on

March 6, 2008, as modified by its order filed on March 17, 2008. Credit towards the period of actual suspension will be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on December 30, 2007. It is also ordered that ALAN K. ACHEN take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that ALAN K. ACHEN comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and one-fifth of said costs be paid with membership fees for the years 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. It is further ordered that if ALAN K. ACHEN fails to pay any installment of the disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 286). The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S163445**DAKOPOLOS ON
DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that HELENA MARIA DAKOPOLOS, State Bar No. 166935, be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* It is further ordered that respondent make restitution to the following clients within 30 days following the effective date of this order or within 30 days following the Client Security Fund payment, whichever is later (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 291): to Rocio Doctolero, Guillermina Doctolero, Judit Doctolero and Rosario Doctolero in the amount of \$19,408.32 plus 10% interest per annum from April 6, 2005 (or to the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Rocio Doctolero, Guillermina Doctolero, Judit Doctolero and Rosario Doctolero, plus interest and costs, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) as specified in the decision of the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court filed

on February 29, 2008. Any restitution to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d). Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

B204070 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **ALEYAMMA (JOHN) v.
PERSONNEL COMMISSION
OF THE LOS ANGELES
UNIFIED SCHOOL**

DISTRICT

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Five to Division Three.

B204070 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **JOHN (ALEYAMMA) v.
PERSONNEL COMMISSION
OF THE LOS ANGELES
UNIFIED SCHOOL**

DISTRICT

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Five to Division Three.

The order filed on July 8, 2008, is hereby amended to correct typographical errors in the caption.

B205536 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **SACHAN (ANIL) ET AL. v.
KIM (JAY) ET AL.**

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Three to Division Five.

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **TRANSFER ORDERS**
The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, are transferred from Division Two to Division Three:

- | | | |
|----|---------|---------------------------------|
| 1. | E045291 | People v. John Heath et al. |
| 2. | E045343 | People v. Rolando Garcia |
| 3. | E045507 | People v. Martel Williams |
| 4. | E045326 | People v. Paul Crumal |
| 5. | E045475 | People v. Ian Tedeschi |
| 6. | E045725 | People v. Johnny Delgado et al. |

- | | | |
|----|---------|--------------------------------|
| 7. | E045837 | People v. Rock Gjurashaj |
| 8. | E045436 | People v. Alfred Roldan et al. |

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 824)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)